

2010

COMMUNITY TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT ADVISORY BOARD ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	CTAAB MISSION STATEMENT
3	Message from the Chair
4	Overview
5	SCOPE OF CTAAB REVIEW
5	Screening Criteria
6	CAPACITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA
7	TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA
8	SUMMARY 2010 RECOMMENDATIONS
11	BOARD MEMBERS

MISSION STATEMENT

The purpose of the Community Technology Assessment Advisory Board (CTAAB) is to augment and provide an independent, professional and community-oriented appraisal to the health care planning process in the nine-county region (Genesee, Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Orleans, Seneca, Wayne, Wyoming, and Yates). The organization will advise the payers, providers, and other interested parties on the need for, or efficacy of, certain health care services and technologies on a community-wide basis. The payers, in turn, may use the recommendations of the organization in the development of their reimbursement or network adequacy policies. The role of the organization is advisory only, and its recommendations shall not be binding in any way on the payers. CTAAB will assess community need for new or expanded medical services, new or expanded technology, and major capital expenditures as proposed by public and private physicians and health facilities. A review by CTAAB will be guided by the following principles:

- Achieving and maintaining a health care system with adequate capacity to support community need;
- Promoting patient access to necessary services;
- Avoiding duplicative health care services and technology; and
- Appropriately containing costs.

MESSAGE FROM THE CTAAB CHAIR

The Community Technology Assessment Advisory Board (CTAAB) is committed to its mission of ensuring patient access to beneficial technology and quality care while assuring community costs are appropriately contained. In 2010, it completed its eighteenth year of service to the community, and I want to thank the members of the Board for their wise and conscientious service. CTAAB is viewed as an example of a community successfully working together to manage the development of high technology and health care services by using evidence-based and community-based reviews. As pressures to contain health care costs increase, this work becomes ever more important.

A committed group of community-minded individuals from the consumer, clinician, hospital, health plan, and business sectors, CTAAB provides recommendations to local health plans regarding proposed expansions in health care technology and services. This year three applications were reviewed, and recommendations were made to the local health plans. One additional letter of inquiry was received from a provider who decided not to pursue the proposed project.

CTAAB continues to work to improve its service to the community and has begun a dialogue with the Finger Lakes Health Systems Agency on models that would improve integration of the activities of the FLHSA and CTAAB in community health planning.

Suggestions for improvement or questions about our process should be directed to Susan Touhsaent, Staff Director, at (585) 461-3520 x114.

Sincerely,

Mary Eileen Callan, RN, MS, FNP Chair

OVERVIEW

The Community Technology Assessment Advisory Board (CTAAB) was established in 1993, in a spirit of cooperation and support for health care planning in the community. CTAAB is an independent board of business leaders, health care consumers, health plans, health care practitioners, and health care institutions. The Board:

- Reviews selected new services or technology and increases in capacity;
- Makes judgments on the issues; and
- Communicates its decisions to the health care community.

CTAAB's role is solely advisory. Payers use CTAAB's recommendations in formulating reimbursement policies. While recommendations are non-binding, the cooperative approach among health care providers, insurers, consumers, and business benefits the entire community.

CTAAB relies on the Finger Lakes Health Systems Agency for analyses of requests for expanded service capacity.

The CTAAB process begins with the submission of a letter of intent or application to the Staff Director. If the proposal meets CTAAB review criteria, it is posted on the CTAAB website for 30 days to allow other applicants to notify the Staff Director of their concurrent interest in the service or technology. Applications are available online at www.ctaab.org.

SCOPE OF CTAAB REVIEW

CTAAB assesses community need for health care projects in the areas of new or expanded services, new or expanded technology, and major capital expenditures as proposed by public providers (i.e., Article 28) and private providers (e.g. physicians, entrepreneurs and health care facilities). CTAAB makes a determination on whether:

- An application of a new technology or service or novel application of an existing technology or service represents appropriate evidence-based medical practice;
- Additional health service capacity is warranted, taking into account geographic location, access, cost-effectiveness, quality, and other community issues.

CTAAB reviews and makes recommendations on proposals that fall within its scope and that exceed \$750,000 in capital equipment costs or incremental community expenditure.

Some projects are considered to be of importance to the community and are always reviewed: new technology; new use of existing technology/ service; replacement/renovation of existing CTAAB-approved equipment/facilities that includes a material increase or enhancement; cardiac catheterization labs; operating rooms; transplant services; hospital beds; diagnostic and treatment centers; and the addition of high tech equipment, such as computed tomography (CT) scanners, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) units, positron emission tomography (PET) scanners, sleep beds, and lithotripters.

CTAAB CAPACITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

In its review of projects that develop or expand health care delivery services in the region, CTAAB shall consider the following needs assessment criteria in its deliberations:

- 1. What is the projected community need as compared to the projected capacity, both with and without the addition of the proposed capacity?
- 2. Does existing and/or estimated future utilization of the proposed service or technology exceed the currently available capacity?
- 3. Does the currently available capacity meet standards of care?
- 4. Are there alternative means to achieve the intended outcomes of the proposed addition to capacity?
- 5. How does existing or estimated future utilization compare to established benchmarking studies?
- 6. What is the expected financial impact of the proposed service or technology on the community health care system?
- 7. What is the cost of the proposed capacity compared to the benefits attained from using it?
- 8. Is there adequate access to existing or proposed service or technology for all community members including traditionally under-served populations?
- 9. CTAAB may also comment on other issues of community need on an as-needed basis during a review.

CTAAB TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

In making its determination of need for a new technology, the Technology Assessment Committee (TAC) and CTAAB shall consider the following questions in an evidence-based review. This list of questions shall not be deemed to prevent the TAC or CTAAB from considering other relevant questions or concerns when they deem it appropriate:

- 1. Does the technology meet a patient care need?
- 2. How does the technology compare to existing alternatives?
- 3. Does community need justify this expenditure?
- 4. Under what circumstances should the technology be used?

SUMMARY OF 2010 RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposal	Outcome
Highland Hospital proposes to add two new operating rooms, renovate pre-surgical screening, and expand the sterile processing department. Highland Hospital proposes the creation of a twenty-two bed neuromedicine unit.	 While there is no current community-wide need for additional surgery capacity, Highland Hospital has demonstrated an institutional need for additional OR capacity based on established criteria that reflect high usage rates of existing capacity. Anticipated project operational date is January 2012. CTAAB concluded there is need for the creation of the neuromedicine unit: The proposed unit would provide some beds now to meet present need for beds as calculated by the 2020 Commission. Those beds, potentially not needed in the future as effective demand management initiatives are implemented, should be acknowledged as part of the existing bed complement of the URMC/Strong Health system as the area hospitals develop their current and future bed projects. The grouping of neuromedicine patients should lead to improvements in quality of care through development of specialized nursing skills and application of specialized technology. The creation of the unit would renovate an older section
Newark-Wayne Community Hospital proposes relocation and renovation of its endoscopy suite.	 of the hospital and provide private patient rooms. Anticipated project completion date is July 2011. CTAAB concluded there is need for the relocation and renovation: There presently is a low rate of appropriate screening for colorectal cancer in Wayne County, the hospital's primary service area. The proposed renovation will support colorectal screening and other endoscopic activities with clinically efficient settings, will correct present violations of hospital building codes, and will bring the suite up to industry standards. The total project will be completed in the first quarter of 2013.
The Exigence Group proposes to locate a CT scanner in a new urgent care center at 2745 West Ridge Road, Greece.	Withdrawn

BOARD MEMBERS, 2009

Matthew Augustine, Consumer Community Volunteer Eltrex Industries, President/CEO

Luisa Baars, Consumer MAS Translation Services, President

Jonathan Broder, M.D.
Technology Assessment Committee Liaison

Mary Eileen (Mel) Callan, RN, MS, FNP (Chair) Clinician
Highland Family Medicine

Stephen Cohen, M.D., Health Plan MVP Health Care Vice President, Medical Affairs

Mark Cronin, Consumer American Cancer Society, Upstate NY Division Director, Strategic Health Initiatives

Christopher Dailey, PharmD, Institution Lakeside Health System Director of Pharmacy

Trilby de Jung, Consumer * ‡ Empire Justice Center

Jake Flaitz, Employer
Paychex, Inc.
Director, Benefits and Human Capital

John Garvey, Employer ‡
Ontario County, NY
Director of Human Resources

Kevin Geary, M.D., Clinician Vascular Surgery Associates

Lisa Y. Harris, M.D., Clinician Temple Medical

Carl Hatch, Consumer †
Loyola Recovery Foundation
Vice President for Administration

Cassandra Kelley, Consumer *
Action for a Better Community

Jamie Kerr, M.D., Health Plan Excellus BlueCross BlueShield, Rochester Region Vice President/CMO

John R. Lynch, Jr., Employer First Niagara Benefits Consulting Senior Vice President

Dominick Mancini, Employer Postler and Jaeckle Corp., COO

Raymond Mayewski, M.D., Institution Strong Health Vice President/CMO

Michael Nazar, M.D., Institution Unity Health System Vice President, Primary Care & Community Services

Richard Neubauer, Employer Retired, Eastman Kodak Company

Kenneth Oakley, PhD, Consumer Lakes Plains Community Care Network, CEO Western New York Rural Area Health Education Center, CEO **Louis Papa, M.D.**, Clinician Olsan Medical Group

Victor Salerno, Employer O'Connell Electric Company, CEO/President

Joseph Vasile, M.D., Institution Rochester General Health System Chief of Psychiatry/Behavioral Health Network **Mervin Weerasinghe, M.D.**, Clinician Rochester Clinical Research, Inc.

James Wissler, Institution Nicholas Noyes Memorial Hospital, President/CEO

Susan Touhsaent, Staff Director

^{*} denotes term began in 2010

[†] denotes term ended during 2010

[‡] denotes resigned during 2010